

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,984	09/900,984 07/09/2001		Peter Gatis	11422-002001	6864	
26161	7590	01/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC	ZHOU, TING			
225 FRANK BOSTON, 1		0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, I	VIA 0211	· ·		2173		
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004	, "/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,984	GATIS, PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ting Zhou	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 at sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 at sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 at a policy u	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 2 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/900,984

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The abstract is objected to as being too short in length; it is not adequately descriptive to convey the whole invention.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of "display areas 114 to 120" on line 18 of page 13 misleadingly conveys the reference to display areas 114, 115, 116...120, instead of referring to display areas 114, 116, 118 and 120, as intended.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/900,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 31 recites the limitation "composite document comprised of separate documents" on lines 2-3 and claims 32-34 recite the limitation "conjoined subdocuments" on line 2 of the respective claims. The relationship between "conjoined subdocuments" and "composite document" is unclear.

4. Claims 34 recites the limitation "original subdocuments" on lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. U.S. Patent 6,009,442.

Referring to claims 1, 16 and 31, Chen et al. teach an apparatus for displaying a graphical user interface (GUI) and computer program stored on a computer-readable medium for viewing a composite document (clipped document as disclosed in column 4, lines 43-48 and shown in

Application/Control Number: 09/900,984

Art Unit: 2173

Figures 2B and 3) comprised of separate documents having an ordered relationship, as recited in column 1, lines 64-67 and continuing onto column 2, lines 1-3. Specifically, Chen et al. teach a memory that stores executable instructions and a processor that executes the instructions (general purpose computer recited in column 3, lines 37-51) to generate an area for displaying the separate documents (document viewing utility) and a control for use in selecting the separate documents for display in accordance with the ordered relationship (document browsing utility), as recited in column 11, lines 25-30, column 14, lines 60-67 and column 15, lines 1-9. This can further be seen in Figures 10 and 12.

Referring to claims 2 and 17, Chen et al. teach a scroll bar for scrolling through the separate documents, as shown in Figure 12.

Referring to claims 3 and 18, Chen et al. teach a tree structure having the separate documents as selectable branches, as recited in column 5, lines 3-26 and shown in the left display panel of Figure 12.

Referring to claims 4 and 19, Chen et al. teach one or more buttons for scrolling through the separate documents (icons or thumbnails representing the separate documents), as recited in column 11, lines 43-57.

Referring to claims 5 and 20, Chen et al. teach the one or more tabs for selecting the separate documents, as shown in Figures 14 and 15.

Referring to claims 6 and 21, Chen et al. teach altering the ordered relationship (monitoring and updating the order as the result of the addition, deletion and modification of the documents) of the separate documents, as recited in column 6, lines 33-45.

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 7 and 22, Chen et al. teach a drag bar for dragging and dropping the documents to a position relative to the other separate documents, as recited in column 16, lines 7-63.

Page 5

Referring to claims 8 and 23, Chen et al. teach a notes section (annotations utility "177" shown in Figure 1B) for adding notations relating to at least one of the separate documents, as recited in column 18, lines 42-55.

Referring to claims 9 and 24, Chen et al. teach an options section (toolbar) for displaying one or more options that can be performed on the separate documents, as recited in column 12, lines 43-59. This can further be seen in Figure 12. This panel contains a display of icons on the toolbar displayed at the top of the figure representing actions that can be performed on the documents.

Referring to claims 10 and 25, Chen et al. teach the options section comprising an option to print one of the separate documents, as recited in column 11, lines 30-36 and evidenced by the print function icon shown in Figure 12.

Referring to claims 11 and 26, Chen et al. teach one of the separate documents heading a hierarchy of subdocuments and the GUI further comprising an area for displaying that hierarchy, as recited in column 11, lines 25-30. This can also be seen by the hierarchical tree structure in Figures 3 and 12.

Referring to claims 12 and 27, Chen et al. teach the subdocuments displayed to reflect their hierarchical relationship to the head of the hierarchy, as shown in Figure 12. For example, it is evident from viewing the display that "Medical" is a subset of "All Documents", which is in turn a subset of "Indexed Categories".

Application/Control Number: 09/900,984

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 13 and 28, Chen et al. teach an area for displaying identifying information (summary information contained in property sheets) for the separate documents, as recited in column 2, lines 7-16 and column 18, lines 56-67.

Referring to claims 14 and 29, Chen et al. teach the separate documents comprising of an image document and a text document, as recited in column 15, lines 33-36.

Referring to claims 15 and 30, Chen et al. teach options for editing the separate documents (manipulating the documents such as copying and moving and consolidating the documents), as recited in column 11, lines 30-37 and column 12, lines 10-12.

Referring to claim 32, Chen et al. teach an area for displaying conjoined subdocuments prior to printing, as shown in the right panels of Figures 12 and 13. As can be seen from the figures, previews of the documents are shown in the display region.

Referring to claim 33, Chen et al. teach displaying options for editing the conjoined subdocuments (clipped documents) prior to printing, as recited in column 11, lines 30-37, column 12, lines 10-12 and column 15, lines 18-26. The user has the option to copy, move, etc. the documents before choosing the print option.

Referring to claim 34, Chen et al. teach the edits made to the conjoined subdocuments reverting to the original subdocuments for further editing, display or storage, as recited in column 4, lines 63-67 and continuing onto column 5, lines 1-2. When subdocuments (clipped documents) are modified or edited, the system reverts to the original STG file associated with each document to store and update that information as well.

Art Unit: 2173

6. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach electronic books with similar mechanisms for note taking and retrieval.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (703) 305-0328. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JOHN CABECA

SUPERVISORY PATELLY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

January 9, 2004